Peace be upon you

The people who innovate in religion have a problem in comprehending the facts. Accordingly, this is the same problem that faces Abu Al-Bara’a who is known for his innovations [in religion]. He does not comprehend the truth despite its clarity. He picks and chooses from the scholars teachings what accommodates his interests.

A case in point, he says, “The detailed issues regarding Islamic law are clear. Anyone disagrees with these issues cannot be declared an apostate unless a solid evidence is established. This is not the point of contention. In addition to that, their [scholars] statements do not address the issue of worshipping and associating other deities with God. Indeed, Abu Hamza is ignorant of the necessarily conditions for someone to worship and associated other deities with God!!!”

[UI] (Abu Hamza) statement

During their time, Sheik Mohammad Ibn Abdul Wahab and Sheik Ibn Taimia [UI] those who committed apostasy. So, where is
the scholars’ consensus mentioned by Abu [UI], may God have mercy on his soul.
He also said...
It is clear how these issues came to intuition based on rulings of the scholars, may God have mercy on them all. Their statements are not considered the ultimate rulings; however, their statements depend and based on laws. This same concept applies on the issue of worshipping other deities with God. He sought guidance in God’s words and Prophet’s sayings.

The rulings of scholars, whatever their ranking, regarding issues in conflict with the oneness of God concept; which lead to a permanent tormenting in hell fire cannot be accepted.

I reply by saying, which language does Abu Al-Bara’a understand? For example, this is a statement made by Ibn Taimia: [Omitted]

Abu Al-Bara’a has no problem in distorting and changing text and statements to serve his agenda. He considers his views as ultimate because he does not back his statements
with evidence. He considers any views that contradict his as deceptive and misguided.

We brought many arguments and evidences based on the teachings of the Koran, Prophet’s traditions and scholars’ views; yet, Abu Al-Bara’a objects to the scholars’ views. He tries to convince the reader of belittling the scholars’ views. Clearly, this is unacceptable and unjust behavior.

No doubt, this is a deceptive style adopted by the ones who follow their whims and innovate in religion.

It is no secret the entity which stands behind Abu Al-Bara’a. Indeed, he [UI] apostasy.